

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PENDLETON DIVISION

OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC.,	) Case No.
et al,	) 2:22-cv-01815-IM
	) (Lead Case)
Plaintiffs,	) Case No.
	) 3:22-cv-01859-IM
vs.	) (Trailing Case)
	) Case No.
TINA KOTEK, et al,	) 3:22-cv-01862-IM
	) (Trailing Case)
Defendants.	) Case No.
	) 3:22-cv-01869-IM
MARK FITZ, et al,	) (Trailing Case)
	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	)
vs.	)
	)
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al,	)
	) VIDEOCONFERENCE
Defendants.	) DEPOSITION OF
	) MICHAEL SIEGEL, M.D.
KATERINA B. EYRE, et al,	)
	) Taken in behalf
Plaintiffs,	) of the Plaintiffs
	)
vs.	) March 17, 2023
	)
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	) (All participants
DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al,	) appeared via
	) videoconference.)
Plaintiffs,	)
	)
vs.	)
	) REPORTED BY:
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al,	) Ashley L. Aronson
	) Court Reporter
Defendants.	)
	)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC  
206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Page 2

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: MR. DANIEL J. NICHOLS  
 MR. CHRISTIAN CHO  
 Attorneys at Law  
 Juris Law, LLP  
 Three Centerpointe Drive  
 Suite 160  
 Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035  
 503-968-1475  
 dan@jurislawyer.com  
 christian@jurislawyer.com  
 (Via Zoom videoconference)

For the Defendants: MS. ERIN N. DAWSON  
 Attorney at Law  
 Markowitz Herbold, PC  
 1455 SW Broadway  
 Suite 1900  
 Portland, Oregon 97301  
 503-295-3085  
 erindawson@markowitzherbold.com  
 (Via Zoom videoconference)

Also Present: (None)

## INDEX

EXAMINATION BY	PAGE NO.
Mr. Nichols	3 - 98

## EXHIBITS

No. 5 Curriculum Vitae	4
No. 6 Declaration	8
No. 7 Measure 114	62

Page 55

1 we used for classifying states as having a state-level  
2 permit requirement is simply that the state requires a  
3 permit, a state permit for the purchase and possession  
4 of firearms.

5 Q. In your review of those -- of the literature  
6 and your research, did you consider any of the  
7 differences between those state permitting schemes and  
8 how that would affect your opinion?

9 A. No, I'm not aware of any studies that have  
10 looked at details about how the permitting scheme is  
11 specifically done to try to differentiate, you know,  
12 whether there are certain features of the permit  
13 requirement that have differential effects.

14 Q. So do you have an opinion as to whether  
15 particular details of a state permitting scheme are  
16 more or less important, essential to your conclusion  
17 about reduction of homicides and mass shootings?

18 A. I don't believe that they are that important  
19 because the evidence is quite robust from multiple  
20 studies that have looked at multiple states, and we're  
21 not seeing discrepancies in the research so we're not  
22 seeing, you know, in one state there was an effect and  
23 another state there wasn't an effect.

24 Pretty much in every state that's been looked  
25 at there has been an effect, so my opinion would be

Page 56

1 that there is no reason to believe that details about  
2 the permitting system are going to change my opinion  
3 about its effectiveness.

4 Q. So, for example, if different state law  
5 permitting schemes have different periods of time  
6 between how long it takes to apply for and obtain a  
7 permit, that in your opinion wouldn't change your  
8 conclusion in this case --

9 A. No.

10 Q. -- is that right?

11 A. It wouldn't because, again, the evidence is  
12 so robust. The effect sizes are huge and the number  
13 of studies that were done in very different states  
14 that had very different details, none of that seems to  
15 make a difference. These studies are finding a large  
16 effect pretty much across the board.

17 Q. In your view in reviewing the permitting  
18 schemes for the purchase and possession of firearms,  
19 are there any elements of those schemes, and we can  
20 get into it more specifically, that are more or less  
21 important to you in your conclusion that they reduce  
22 homicides and mass shootings?

23 In other words, these schemes have a lot of  
24 different pieces to them. Is there any core  
25 components that to you make up the effective part of

Michael Siegel, M.D. - 3/17/2023

99

## C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OREGON            )  
   )  
 COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH    )

I, Ashley L. Aronson, a Notary Public in and for the State of Oregon, certify that the videoconference deposition of MICHAEL SIEGEL, MD, occurred at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that at said time and place I reported in Stenotype all the testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that thereafter my notes were reduced to typewriting under my direction and the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 98, both inclusive, contains a full, true and correct record of all such testimony adduced and oral proceedings had and of the whole thereof. Reading and signing was not requested pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(e).

Witness my hand and Notarial seal at  
 Portland, Oregon, this 24th day of March, 2023.



*Ashley L. Aronson*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ASHLEY L. ARONSON  
 Notary Public for the State of  
 Oregon, residing at Portland  
 Commission No. 1028978  
 My Commission Expires: 9/28/2026

**BUELL**  
 REALTIME REPORTING

COURT REPORTING  
 AND LEGAL VIDEO

**206.287.9066**  
 buellrealtime.com  
 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840  
 Seattle, Washington 98101